Tax Cuts and Job Act of 2017

Prepared by Office of Legislative Council and Joint Fiscal Office

- Enacted December 22, 2017.
- Makes major changes to three federal taxes: Personal Income, Corporate Income, and Gift/Estate taxes.
- Two types of impact in Vermont:
 - Will affect how much individual taxpayers pay (to both the State and Federal Governments), given their particular situation.
 - Will affect State revenues.
- How does the federal bill affect State revenues? Vermont is linked to each of these federal taxes through shared definitions of the tax base; to the extent the federal act changes the tax base, absent State statutory intervention, some changes will fall through to the State tax, and affect State revenues.
- In descending order, the biggest potential for a revenue impact to the State come from changes in the federal tax bases for Personal Income, Corporate Income, and Estate taxes. These numbers are from FY2018.
 - Vermont Personal Income = \$795 million
 - Vermont Corporate Income = \$87 million
 - Vermont Estate Tax = \$19 million
- Legislative and Executive staff have been working to understand the impact of the federal changes on State revenues.

- Federal personal income taxes (as of 1/1/18):
 - GI (minus certain above the line deductions) =
 - AGI (minus either the standard deduction or itemized deductions) =
 - TI → (multiplied by a rate) = Liability (minus credits)
- Vermont personal income taxes (as of 1/1/18):
 - o AGI (minus) federal personal exemption (minus) either federal standard deduction or certain itemized deductions
 - In Vermont, you can take:
 - all of your medical and charitable itemized deductions;
 - none of your state income tax deduction;
 - and all your remaining federal itemized deductions are limited to 2.5 times the standard deduction.
- The biggest personal income tax changes are elimination of personal exemptions, doubling of the standard deduction, and the alteration of numerous itemized deductions.
- The biggest corporate tax change is the move from a modified worldwide system to a territorial system, with the simultaneous repatriation of profits.
- The biggest estate change is the doubling of the unified gift/estate tax credit from \$5 million/\$10 million to \$10 million/\$20 million, adjusted for inflation to 2011.

Version as of January 5, 2018. Will be updated as appropriate.	Current federal law	Tax Cuts and Jobs Act	Current Vermont law	Comments/Impact	Preliminary JFO Effect on VT Revenues (Note: these are in isolation; final estimate could be different than sum of individual provisions)
					Large= Greater than \$25 million impact Medium=Between \$10 and \$25 million Small= Less than \$10 million
		INDI	VIDUAL INCOME TAX	CHANGES	
Personal Exemptions	TPs can deduct \$4150 for each personal exemption.	Eliminates personal exemptions.	VTI = AGI with several additions and subtractions. One subtraction is the amount of personal exemptions taken at federal level.	Changes would fall through. If the amount of personal exemptions allowed at the federal level were zero, changes would increase VTI.	Large, upward effect on VT revenues in FY19 and beyond Explanation: Removal of the deduction of personal exemptions increases taxable income in FY19 and beyond.
Standard Deduction	TPs can deduct a standard deduction of \$6,500 for single filers and \$13,000 for married couples; helps create a de facto 0 percent bracket.	Standard deduction is increased to \$12,000 for individuals and \$24,000 for joint filers.	VTI = AGI with several additions and subtractions. One subtraction is the amount of the standard deduction taken at federal level. A different subtraction caps certain itemized deductions at 2.5 times the federal standard deduction amount.	Changes would fall through, lowering VTI, and likely reducing the number of itemizers. Would increase itemized deduction cap.	Large, downward effect on VT revenues Explanation: A larger standard deduction reduces taxable income for those who do not itemize. A larger standard deduction may also cause itemizers who had less than \$12,000 (single) or \$24,000 (joint) to take the larger standard deduction, further reducing taxable income.

Version as of January 5, 2018. Will be updated as appropriate.	Current federal law	Tax Cuts and Jobs Act	Current Vermont law	Comments/Impact	Preliminary JFO Effect on VT Revenues (Note: these are in isolation; final estimate could be different than sum of individual provisions)
Pass through income	Income earned through a partnership (including LLCs), S Corp, or sole proprietorship is taxed to the individual owner as ordinary income, at the TP's marginal rate.	Allows a deduction of 20% of the amount of "qualified business income", which is generally defined as income earned through a pass through. There are limits based on business types and allocable wages, which start when the pass through income exceeds \$315,000 for joint and \$157,500 for individuals. The deduction is structured in such a way to be available to both itemizers and non-itemizers.	No preferential treatment for pass though income, but reduces VTI by certain itemized deductions, up to 2.5 times the federal standard deduction.	Changes would not fall through for non-itemizers because there is no allowance for the pass through deduction in 32 V.S.A. § 5811(21). If the pass through deduction is not considered an itemized deduction, it would not fall through for itemizers.	POTENTIAL downward effect on VT revenues. Explanation: The deduction will not fall through for non-itemizers. If the deduction is not an itemized deduction, then the deduction would not flow through to VT taxable income and therefore have no effect. However, there are also behavioral impacts that could affect this estimate long-term. If individuals can "game" the rules and establish themselves as pass-through businesses, there may be a greater downward effect on VT revenues.
Child credit	Child tax credit of \$1000 per qualifying child. Phased out a \$75,000 for an	Increase the amount of the child tax credit to \$2,000 per qualifying child.	Vermont is not linked to this credit.		No direct impact on VT revenues

Version as of January 5, 2018. Will be updated as appropriate.	Current federal law	Tax Cuts and Jobs Act	Current Vermont law	Comments/Impact	Preliminary JFO Effect on VT Revenues (Note: these are in isolation; final estimate could be different than sum of individual provisions)
	individual filers, \$110,000 for joint filers. Refundable up to 15% of earned income over \$3,000.	Maximum refundable amount would be \$1,400. Create a new nonrefundable \$500 credit for qualifying dependents who are not qualifying children. Phased out at \$200,000 for single filers, \$400,000 for joint return.			
Overall limit on itemized deductions	Total allowed itemized deductions are reduced by 3% of the amount that the TP is over the threshold (-in 2017, thresholds were \$261,500 for individual filers and \$313,800 for joint filers).	Suspends limit for tax years 2018 to 2025.	No specific law on point in Vermont, but the effect of the limitation would fall through, in the sense that some high itemizers may have fewer deductions to claim.	To the extent suspending the limitation increases the amount of itemized deductions taken at the federal level, it may decrease VTI, unless the 2.5 times cap already applies to the TP.	Small, downward effect on VT revenues Explanation: If individuals over the previous income thresholds no longer have their itemized deductions limited, then their aggregate deductions may be increased under the new bill. This leads to a decrease in taxable income.
Home mortgage interest	Itemizers can deduct interest on	Reduces the limit on acquisition	Allows TP to reduce VTI by	Changes would fall through, and possibly	Small, upward effect on VT revenues Explanation: Individuals with new

Version as of January 5, 2018. Will be updated as appropriate.	Current federal law	Tax Cuts and Jobs Act	Current Vermont law	Comments/Impact	Preliminary JFO Effect on VT Revenues (Note: these are in isolation; final estimate could be different than sum of individual provisions)
	up to \$1,000,000 in indebtedness for up to two homes.	indebtedness to \$750,000, for new mortgages after December 15, 2017.	amount of the federal deduction, subject to the 2.5 times cap.	increase VTI, but only to the extent that people with over \$750,000 in indebtedness are currently not capped.	mortgages over \$750,000 would be unable to deduct interest from that mortgage. This lowers the amount of the deduction in aggregate and increases taxable income.
State and local taxes	Itemizers can deduct state and local property taxes and either state and local income taxes or sales taxes.	Itemizers can deduct up to \$10,000 of the aggregate of state and local property tax and state and local income taxes.	The federal deduction for state and local income taxes is disallowed, and added back into the calculation of VTI. The federal deduction for state and local property taxes falls through to the calculation of VTI, but is subject to the itemized deduction cap.	Change may result in fewer itemizers. May result in less deducted from AGI, which would mean an increase in VTI. If nothing is changed, there may be an incentive for filers to use all of their property tax first to fill the \$10,000 limit, because they would need to add back any state and local income taxes used.	Small, upward effect on VT revenues Explanation: Because VT requires the addback of State and local income taxes, individuals are incented to use the \$10,000 cap on their property taxes first, then the residual on income taxes. As a result, Federal Taxable Income would increase under this cap (because individuals can deduct less than they could before) but Vermont would see less in state and local income taxes added back, reducing Vermont taxable income. Therefore, the revenue impact is small.
Casualty losses	TPs can deduct losses not compensated by insurance, if they exceed 10% of AGI.	Limits casualty losses to losses incurred during a federally declared emergency.	Allows TP to reduce VTI by amount of the federal deduction, subject to the 2.5 times cap.	To the extent the change reduces itemized deductions for casualty losses, it may increase VTI.	Small, upward effect on VT revenues Explanation: Repeal of the deduction (for most cases) increases Vermont taxable income.
Charitable	Itemizers can	The bill would	Allows TP to	To the extent the	Small, downward effect on VT revenues

Version as of January 5, 2018. Will be updated as appropriate.	Current federal law	Tax Cuts and Jobs Act	Current Vermont law	Comments/Impact	Preliminary JFO Effect on VT Revenues (Note: these are in isolation; final estimate could be different than sum of individual provisions)
contributions	generally deduct charitable contributions up to 50% of -their AGI.	increase the income-based percentage limit for charitable contributions of cash to public charities to 60%. It would also deny a charitable deduction for payments made for college athletic event seating rights.	reduce VTI by amount of the federal deduction.	change incentivizes more charitable giving, it could result in more federal deductions, and less VTI.	Explanation: JFO, when modeling, assumed that only individuals who gave 50% of their AGI in contributions under current law would increase their giving to 60% of AGI. This increases the aggregate amount of the deduction, lowering taxable income. It should be noted that with fewer taxpayers itemizing deductions, there will be a reduced benefit to charitable giving, which may reduce such giving.
Miscellaneous	TPs may deduct	Suspends all	Allows TP to	To the extent the	Small, upward effect on VT revenues
itemized	certain	miscellaneous	reduce VTI by	change reduces	Explanation: Suspension of the deduction
deductions	miscellaneous deductions, as long as they exceed, in the aggregate, 2% of AGI.	deductions subject to the 2% floor from tax year 2018 to tax year 2025.	amount of the federal deduction, subject to the 2.5 times cap.	itemized deductions for miscellaneous itemized deductions, it should increase VTI.	would increase Vermont taxable income.
Medical expenses	Itemizers may deduct unreimbursed medical expenses to the extent they exceed 10% of AGI.	Lowers threshold to 7.5% of AGI.	Allows TP to reduce VTI by amount of the federal deduction.	To the extent the change increases the amount of itemized deductions claimed at the federal level, it may decrease VTI.	Small, downward effect on VT revenues Explanation: Increases the aggregate amount of itemized deductions, reducing taxable income.
Moving expenses	TPs are permitted an above the line	Generally repeals the deduction for	Since the deduction is taken	Should increase federal AGI, and	Small, upward effect on VT revenues Explanation: Repeal of the deduction

Version as of January 5, 2018. Will be updated as appropriate.	Current federal law	Tax Cuts and Jobs Act	Current Vermont law	Comments/Impact	Preliminary JFO Effect on VT Revenues (Note: these are in isolation; final estimate could be different than sum of individual provisions)
	deduction for work related moving expenses that meet certain requirement of distance and employment status. Qualified moving expense reimbursements from an employer are excluded from the TP's gross income, within limits.	expenses paid by an individual or reimbursed by an employer, except for members of the military who move.	about before AGI is calculated, the deduction is automatically incorporated into the calculation of VTI.	therefore VTI, to the extent non-military people claimed the deduction in Vermont.	increases AGI, and therefore VTI. Note: this is an above-the-line deduction. It is a deduction from gross income, before AGI.
Alternative Minimum Tax	Provides a separate minimum tax calculation for TPs who utilize specific tax preferences and adjustments.	Temporarily increases the exemption amount and exemption amount phaseout thresholds for the AMT, from tax year 2018 to tax year 2026. Basically, raises the thresholds to which the AMT would apply, such that fewer TPs at	Vermont is not linked to the individual AMT. Vermont has a separate type of alternative minimum tax based on AGI, not on the federal AMT.	Since the AMT is an alternative calculation of the tax due, it does not fall through to the Vermont calculation of VTI.	No direct impact on Vermont revenues.

Version as of January 5, 2018. Will be updated as appropriate.	Current federal law	Tax Cuts and Jobs Act	Current Vermont law	Comments/Impact	Preliminary JFO Effect on VT Revenues (Note: these are in isolation; final estimate could be different than sum of individual provisions)
		the lower end are subject to the AMT.			
			BUSINESS PROVISION	ONS	
Corporate Rates	The top corporate rate of 35 percent now applies to taxable income over \$10 million a year. There are three other corporate tax brackets — 15 percent, 25 percent and 34 percent.	Sets a single corporate tax rate at 21 percent, starting in 2018, up from 20 percent proposed in the House and Senate bills.	8.5 percent for C Corps with more than \$25,000 in net income attributable to Vermont; 7% between \$10,000 and \$25,000; 6% under \$10,000. Minimum taxes ranging from \$75 to \$750 for different categories.	Vermont rates are not linked to federal changes.	No direct impact on VT revenues, although there may be indirect effects. Explanation: Although Vermont's corporate tax rates are not linked to the Federal, this provision may affect corporate valuations which would flow through to capital gains. Capital gains would flow through to VTI on the personal income side.
Corporate Alternative Minimum Tax	Provides a separate minimum tax calculation for TPs who utilize specific tax preferences and adjustments.	Repeals corporate AMT.	Vermont is not linked to the federal corporate alternative minimum tax.	Since Vermont is not linked to the federal corporate AMT, there should be little effect on Vermont revenues.	No direct impact on VT revenues.

Version as of January 5, 2018. Will be updated as appropriate.	Current federal law	Tax Cuts and Jobs Act	Current Vermont law	Comments/Impact	Preliminary JFO Effect on VT Revenues (Note: these are in isolation; final estimate could be different than sum of individual provisions)
Bonus depreciation	TPs must capitalize the cost of property used in a trade or business or for the production of income through depreciation or amortization. Federal law allows a 50% bonus depreciation in the first year property is put into service.	Increases bonus depreciation to 100% for most property.	Vermont decoupled from the earlier federal decision to allow 50% bonus depreciation. Current law would also be decoupled from the 100% bonus depreciation in the new bill.	Without any changes to Vermont law, the 100% bonus depreciation would not fall through to the State on either the individual or corporate taxes.	No direct impact on VT revenues.
Luxury Automobiles	26 U.S.C. 280F limits the amount that can be depreciated for luxury and personal use automobiles.	The act increases the amount of the limits under 26 U.S.C. 280F, allowing more business expense to be claimed for luxury and personal use automobiles.	Changes would fall through to VNI, or VTI if depreciated on a business schedule.	To the extent the raised limits lead to increased amounts of depreciation, there may be less VTI, for both individual based business and corporate filers.	Small, downward effect on VT revenue
Depreciation changes	Businesses must depreciate property over time according to schedules designed by property type and	The act reduces the period required to depreciate certain farm equipment and real estate.	No specific decoupling likely falls through to VTI and VNI.	Quicker depreciation typically means less income in the years the depreciation is claimed.	Unknown downward effect on VT revenue. Explanation: Quicker depreciation could lead to lower VNI and VTI.

Version as of January 5, 2018. Will be updated as appropriate.	Current federal law	Tax Cuts and Jobs Act	Current Vermont law	Comments/Impact	Preliminary JFO Effect on VT Revenues (Note: these are in isolation; final estimate could be different than sum of individual provisions)
	class. Most depreciation schedules span 3 to 50 years.				
Expensing	TPs may elect to expense in one year, rather than capitalize over time, certain types of property. TPs may expense up to \$500,000 for items placed in service, but this amount is reduced by the amount by which total items placed into service exceed \$2,000,000.	The act raises the dollar limits for expensing to \$1,000,000 and \$2,500,000.	No specific decoupling likely falls through to VNI, or VTI on a business schedule.	The ability to use more expensing, rather than capitalization, typically means less income in the years the expense is claimed.	Unclear revenue impact on VT Explanation: Will largely depend on if and when businesses make investments. If a large amount of businesses invest and expense in any single year, it would lead to lower VNI in that year, but potentially higher VNI in future years.
Interest	Business related interest for borrowing is generally deductible under 26 U.S.C. 163.	Limits the deductibility of business interest generally to (1) the amount of business interest income, or (2) 30% of adjusted taxable income.	Allowed to fall through as an itemized deduction for individuals or as a deduction before VNI for corporate filers.	To the extent the limitation reduces the amount of interest deducted, it may increase VTI or VNI for both individuals and corporations.	Unclear revenue impact on VT Explanation: Limits the amount of aggregate amount of deductions for both businesses and individuals, increasing VTI or VNI. However, the interaction between this provision and others (expensing, for example) may change borrowing decisions for businesses.

Version as of January 5, 2018. Will be updated as appropriate.	Current federal law	Tax Cuts and Jobs Act	Current Vermont law	Comments/Impact	Preliminary JFO Effect on VT Revenues (Note: these are in isolation; final estimate could be different than sum of individual provisions)
Net operating losses	A net operating loss is the amount by which business losses exceed taxable income. Business and individuals can deduct operating losses, and can typically carry those losses forward 20 years or back 2 years, although there are numerous exceptions. A net operating loss can be claimed on either a corporate return, or on an individual return, as a subtraction from income on a business schedule.	The act limits net operating losses to 80% of taxable income, and eliminates the 2 year carryback. But it allows carryforwards indefinitely.	Vermont had decoupled from federal net operating losses for corporations, and allows a deduction of an apportioned amount of net operating losses. 32 V.S.A. § 5811(18), 32 V.S.A. § 5888. Since a net operating loss on an individual return is subtracted in the calculation of income, individual net operating losses can fall through.	The limitations may reduce the losses claimed by some individuals, which would increase gross income, and could theoretically increase VTI. Since Vermont is decoupled from the federal corporate net operating loss provisions, the changes will not fall through to VNI.	No direct impact on VT revenues.
Deduction for	26 U.S.C. 199 allows	The act repeals	Vermont has	The repeal of this	Small, upward impact on VT revenues
qualified	a deduction for	this deduction.	never decoupled	deduction should	Explanation: Repeal of the deduction
production	certain qualified		from this	increase VTI and VNI.	increases AGI, which increases VTI.
activities	production activities, up to 9% of the expense, or		deduction, which was passed in 2004, and it falls		Note: this is an above-the line deduction

Version as of January 5, 2018. Will be updated as appropriate.	Current federal law	Tax Cuts and Jobs Act	Current Vermont law	Comments/Impact	Preliminary JFO Effect on VT Revenues (Note: these are in isolation; final estimate could be different than sum of individual provisions)
	9% of taxable income. Originally designed to incentivize manufacturing, the deduction has been claimed by many businesses tangentially to manufacturing.		through on both the individual and corporate sides. To the extent the deduction is claim by an individual, it is an above the line deduction, and reduces federal AGI, and therefore VTI. To the extent it is taken by a corporation, it reduces federal taxable income, and therefore VNI.		
Carried Interest	Carried interest is the share of the profits from an investment fund that is paid to fund managers. Under current law, it is taxed at the preferential capital gains rate, rather than ordinary income.	The act creates a three year holding period, so that carried interest composed of gains held less than three years is taxed as ordinary income, and carried interest composed of gains held more than	Vermont has not decoupled from 26 U.S.C. 83, which contains the rules for carried interest. Since carried interest is determined at the gross income level, any change would fall through.	Since the limitation would arguably increase federal gross income, the changes would fall through to increase federal AGI and VTI.	Small, upward effect on VT revenues Explanation: The provision could increase gross income, which would then increase AGI and VTI, all other provisions held constant.

Version as of January 5, 2018. Will be updated as appropriate.	Current federal law	Tax Cuts and Jobs Act	Current Vermont law	Comments/Impact	Preliminary JFO Effect on VT Revenues (Note: these are in isolation; final estimate could be different than sum of individual provisions)
		three years would			
		get the capital gains rate.			
Employer credit	No credit for family	Creates a credit	Vermont has not	Since it applies as a	No direct effect on VT revenues
for family or	or medical leave	for employers of	decoupled.	credit against a	
medical leave	payments.	12.5% of		liability, the effect	
	' '	the amount of		would likely not fall	
		wages paid to a		directly through to	
		qualifying		Vermont revenues.	
		employee during			
		any period in			
		which the			
		employee is on			
		family and medical			
		leave if the rate of			
		payment under			
		the program is			
		50% of the wages			
		normally paid to			
		the employee. Applies to tax year			
		2018 and 2019			
		only.			
		omy.			
		TAX	KATION OF FOREIGN	INCOME	
Dividends	The US has a	At a very high	Vermont is based	Since most foreign	No direct effect on VT revenues
received	modified	level, allows US	on VNI, which	profits have been	
deduction	"worldwide" taxing	Corporations to	used federal	offshored, and not	

Version as of January 5, 2018. Will be updated as appropriate.	Current federal law	Tax Cuts and Jobs Act	Current Vermont law	Comments/Impact	Preliminary JFO Effect on VT Revenues (Note: these are in isolation; final estimate could be different than sum of individual provisions)
	system, where all	deduct the	corporate taxable	taxed immediately,	
	worldwide income	foreign-source	income as a base.	under the current US	
	earned by a	portion of		worldwide system, it	
	corporation is	dividends paid by		is not obvious that	
	considered taxable,	certain foreign		the ability to deduct	
	but the tax is	corporations to US		these profits will	
	deferred until the	corporate		significantly change	
	foreign earned	shareholders		VNI.	
	profits are brought	owning at least			
	back to the US.	10% of the foreign			
		corporation. In			
		other words, most			
		foreign earned			
		profits are no			
		longer considered taxable, moving			
		the US to a			
		modified			
		"territorial"			
		system.			
Repatriation of	Under current law,	A transitional rule	VNI is based on	The structure of this	Unknown upward effect on VT revenues.
foreign profits	foreign profits are	imposes a one-	federal corporate	provision requiring	Explanation: Will largely depend on the
ייייין ייייין ייייין	not taxed until they	time tax on US	taxable income,	the inclusion of	extent to which businesses take advantage
	are paid back to a	shareholders of	which is calculated	repatriated profits in	of the provision, and whether these
	domestic	certain foreign	as gross income,	gross income with an	businesses have a presence in VT. Because
	corporation or	corporations. The	minus allowable	allowance for a	of Vermont's water's edge unitary
	shareholder.	tax is assessed on	deductions.	partial deduction	taxation, VNI would increase if any
		the US		will could result in an	business with a VT presence repatriates
		shareholder's		increase in VNI on a	profits.

Version as of January 5, 2018. Will be updated as appropriate.	Current federal law	Tax Cuts and Jobs Act	Current Vermont law	Comments/Impact	Preliminary JFO Effect on VT Revenues (Note: these are in isolation; final estimate could be different than sum of individual provisions)
		share of the		one time basis.	
		foreign		However, there may	
		corporation's		be apportionment	
		accumulated		issues, timing issues,	
		foreign earnings		and tax avoidance	
		that have not		strategies, which may	
		previously been		limit the increase.	
		taxed under the			
		US's system of			
		deferred			
		worldwide			
		taxation. The			
		provision generally			
		requires that, for			
	,	the last taxable			
		year beginning			
		before January 1,			
		2018, any U.S.			
		shareholder of a			
		specified foreign			
		corporation must			
		include in gross			
		income its pro rata			
		share of the			
		accumulated post-			
		1986 deferred			
		foreign income of			
		the corporation. A			
		deduction is then			

Version as of January 5, 2018. Will be updated as appropriate.	Current federal law	Tax Cuts and Jobs Act	Current Vermont law	Comments/Impact	Preliminary JFO Effect on VT Revenues (Note: these are in isolation; final estimate could be different than sum of individual provisions)
		allowed on that			
		income at			
		different rates,			
		depending on			
		whether the			
		repatriated profits			
		are cash or asset			
		based. The result			
		is that earnings in			
		the form of cash and cash			
		equivalents will be			
		taxed at a rate of			
		15.5%; all other			
		earnings will be			
		taxed as 8%. The			
		tax can be paid in			
		installments over			
		8 years. The tax			
		applies whether			
		the profits are			
		actually returned			
		to the US or not.			
Base erosion	No provision in	Applicable	There is not	The base erosion	No direct effect on VT revenues
minimum tax	current law.	corporations will	corresponding	minimum tax is	
		be subject to a	Vermont	structured as a	
		new tax equal to	provision.	separate excise tax,	
		their "base erosion		outside of the normal	
		minimum tax		federal corporate	

Version as of January 5, 2018. Will be updated as appropriate.	Current federal law	Tax Cuts and Jobs Act	Current Vermont law	Comments/Impact	Preliminary JFO Effect on VT Revenues (Note: these are in isolation; final estimate could be different than sum of individual provisions)
		amount." The formula for determining this tax is complex, but at a high level, is equal to 10% of the US corporation's modified taxable income (modified by adding back deductible payments to related foreign persons), minus the US corporation's regular tax liability (where the income base is reduced by deductible payments to related foreign persons, and the tax liability itself is reduced by certain		income tax calculation; therefore, the effects of the tax will likely not directly fall through to Vermont.	
		credits). This provision is intended to apply			

Version as of January 5, 2018. Will be updated as appropriate.	Current federal law	Tax Cuts and Jobs Act	Current Vermont law	Comments/Impact	Preliminary JFO Effect on VT Revenues (Note: these are in isolation; final estimate could be different than sum of individual provisions)
		to US corporations that reduce their US tax liability by making deductible payments to related foreign persons (e.g., interest on intercompany loans; royalties to affiliated entities).			
Minimum tax on passive/mobile undistributed income of CFCs	No current provision.	Under a new provision, US shareholders of a controlled foreign corporation (a CFC) will be taxed currently on their shares of "global intangible low-taxed income" (GILTI). Very generally, GILTI is (i) the US shareholder's pro rata share of the CFC's aggregate net income, minus (ii) a deemed 10%	There is no corresponding Vermont provision.	The structure of this provision attributing foreign income to a US shareholder and providing a partial deduction would seem likely to fall through to either VTI or VNI. These rules are intended to discourage US corporations from holding or moving low-basis business assets in low-tax jurisdictions. However, they do not	Unclear effect on VT revenues.

Version as of January 5, 2018. Will be updated as appropriate.	Current federal law	Tax Cuts and Jobs Act	Current Vermont law	Comments/Impact	Preliminary JFO Effect on VT Revenues (Note: these are in isolation; final estimate could be different than sum of individual provisions)			
		return on the CFC's aggregate basis in depreciable tangible property. Certain income (e.g., subpart F income) is excluded from the determination of (i) in the above		appear to take away the incentive for a US company to move high-basis assets to such a jurisdiction (e.g., factories, equipment, etc.)				
		formula.						
	ESTATE TAX PROVISIONS							
Exclusion amount	There is a unified estate tax and gift tax at the federal level on estates passed on at death, or gifts made during a lifetime. Excluded from this tax is the first \$5 million of the estate or lifetime gifts for an individual, or \$10 million for a married couple.	Doubles the unified estate/gift tax exclusion amount to the first \$10 million for individuals or \$20 million for married couples. Retains the indexing for inflation to 2011, so under the bill, the amounts in 2017 would have been \$10.98	Vermont uses the federal definitions for base amounts, but has a decoupled exclusion amount of \$2.75 million.	Although the gap between Vermont's exclusion amount (\$2.75 million) and the federal exclusion amount (roughly \$11 million and \$22 million) will increase, it seems unlikely that the increase in the gap would lead to an increase or decrease in State revenues.	Unknown effects on VT revenues			

Version as of January 5, 2018. Will be updated as appropriate.	Current federal law	Tax Cuts and Jobs Act	Current Vermont law	Comments/Impact	Preliminary JFO Effect on VT Revenues (Note: these are in isolation; final estimate could be different than sum of individual provisions)
	This amount is indexed for inflation beginning in 2011, and in 2017, these base amounts were \$5.49 million and \$10.98 million.	million for an individual or \$21.96 million for a married couple.			